

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,571	05/02/2001	Cyrus Peikari	5626	
7590 12/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Cyrus Peikari			BONZO, BRYCE P	
6242 Walnut Hill Ln Dallas, TX 75230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2114	
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/847,571	PEIKARI, CYRUS					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bryce P Bonzo	2114					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	lay 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau 	•	received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

NON-FINAL OFFICIAL ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph.

Claims 15-19 are allowed.

Rejections under 35 USC §112, first paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

These claims all recite a limitation similar to claim 1's:

(c) means for calculating a second number, wherein said second number represents a maximum number of diagnostic processes that can be supported by said amount of available memory,

The Examiner is unable to determine how Applicant has calculated the maximum number of diagnostic processes supported. Applicant has clearly shown gathering data the available memory available data, but not to determine how much memory a particular diagnostic process will require. Diagnostic processes are notoriously well known for being memory hogs and having memory footprints which vary widely with time. Anti-virus programs, in particular, are infamous for this. A simple estimation of a process's memory usage is not possible due to this. Further more, Applicant has not included that estimation, if it was possible. No detail has been provided on the one feature of these claims that clearly is lacking in the prior art: calculating how much memory a diagnostic process requires (specifically an anti-virus process). Page 8, lines 3-6 acknowledge this problem. Applicant establishes value MAX2 may in fact be wrong and why, but never establishes how MAX2 was derived.

The Examiner is not stating that Applicant has not invented the presently claimed invention. It is the contention of the Examiner that the Applicant has failed to disclose invention in a manner which prevents undo experimentation by one of ordinary skill in the art to make or use the invention.

Allowable Matter

Claims 15-19 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance." The Applicant is reminded that claims are

allowed as a whole, and any modification to the claims may jeopardize this indication of allowability.

As per claim 15:

A method for diagnosing a host computer system, wherein said diagnosing includes virus scanning, said host computer system including at least one processor, an operating system, and one or more memory arrays, comprising the steps of:

- (a) determining a maximum number of diagnostic processes that can be simultaneously supported by said operating system,
- (b) running multiple diagnostic processes, wherein said multiple diagnostic processes include scanning for computer viruses or corrupted data files, and wherein the number of said multiple diagnostic processes is less than or equal to the maximum number of processes determined in step (a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryce P Bonzo whose telephone number is (571)272-3655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571)272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,571 Page 5

Art Unit: 2114

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Buyee P, Bongo Bryce P Bonzo Examiner Art Unit 2114